## **DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



July 17, 2000

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 00/01-15

TO: COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

COUNTY FISCAL OFFICERS

**COUNTY PROBATION OFFICERS** 

INDEPENDENT LIVING PROGRAM COORDINATORS

SUBJECT: INDEPENDENT LIVING PROGRAM (ILP) ALLOCATION

The purpose of this CFL is to provide the counties with their State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2000/01 ILP allocation. The Budget Act of 2000 appropriated \$34,921,000. As outlined in the John H. Chafee Foster Care Independence Program, funding is provided for services to foster care adolescents and emancipated youth aged 16 to 21. The services provided or arranged by the county welfare department should facilitate the transition of foster care children to emancipated lifestyles enabling the youth to become independent. Consistent with the policy established in CFL No. 94/95-44, counties can utilize all funds provided in this allocation without match at the local level. However, counties are encouraged to continue the use of any funds previously contributed as in-kind match to expand available services to eligible youth.

The Budget Act of 2000 provided for a \$200,000 set-aside for the Department of Social Services to contract with the California Youth Connection, (CYC). These funds are used to promote collaborative needs assessments, program planning, implementation and evaluation, and the use of college and county resources for individual services for foster youth. In addition, \$200,000 is being set-aside for curriculum development. This funding will be used to develop a youth-focused curriculum built on sound educational theory about how young people are motivated and able to learn.

This allocation is a combination of federal and state funds. Each county's ILP allocation was calculated based upon their proportionate share of the total Foster Care cases, age 15.5 years and over as reported by counties for calendar year 1999 on the Medi-Cal Eligibility Determination System. A minimum allocation of \$10,000 was established to ensure an adequate level of funding for smaller counties. Consistent with prior policy, the administrative costs of ILP are limited to 20 percent of the allocation as reflected in the attachment. The cost of personnel to provide ILP services is not included in this administrative cap. ILP services costs should be claimed to Program Code 184 and ILP administrative/case management costs to Program Code 182. Control of the 20 percent administrative expenditures will occur during the closeout process.

At closeout, it is anticipated that any surplus ILP funds may be redistributed among those counties who overspent their allocation. Costs claimed in excess of either allocation will be shifted to county only share using SUOC 525 (ILP-Administrative) and/or 524 (ILP-Services) as required. During the closeout process all shifts will be adjusted subject to the appropriate 20 percent for the administrative function and 80 percent for services function for the allocation controls.

Questions regarding this allocation should be directed to your county analyst in the County Financial Analysis Bureau at (916) 657-3806. Other questions related to the ILP program should be addressed to the Foster Care Services Bureau at (916) 445-7001.

Original Document Signed by Douglas D. Park on 7/17/00

DOUGLAS D. PARK, Chief Financial Planning Branch

Attachment

c: CWDA

	Total FY 00/01	Administration	Services
	ILP Alloc.	Only	Only
County	\$34,521,000	<b>Code 182</b>	Code 184
		20%	80%
Alameda	1,662,260	332,452	1,329,808
Alpine	10,000	2,000	8,000
Amador Butte	11,911 354,055	2,382 70,811	9,529 283,244
Calaveras	49,891	9,978	39,913
Colusa	27,401	5,480	21,921
Contra Costra	798,495	159,699	638,796
Del Norte	60,108	12,022	48,086
El Dorado	109,286	21,857	87,429
Fresno	862,933	172,587	690,346
Glenn	47,763	9,553	38,210
Humboldt	184,827	36,965	147,861
Imperial	186,501	37,300	149,201
Inyo	33,286	6,657	26,629
Kern	613,312	122,662	490,650
Kings	94,554	18,911	75,644
Lake	83,286	16,657	66,628
Lassen	89,168	17,834 2,811,694	71,334
Los Angeles Madera	14,058,468 113,990	2,811,694	11,246,774 91,192
Marin	166,782	33,356	133,426
Mariposa	39,653	7,931	31,723
Mendocino	134,106	26,821	107,284
Merced	257,236	51,447	205,789
Modoc	30,358	6,072	24,286
Mono	10,000	2,000	8,000
Monterey	259,046	51,809	207,237
Napa	95,710	19,142	76,568
Nevada	66,852	13,370	53,482
Orange	1,128,226	225,645	902,580
Placer	209,944	41,989	167,955
Plumas	37,226	7,445	29,781
Riverside	1,473,657	294,731	1,178,926
Sacramento	1,448,524	289,705	1,158,819
San Benito San Bernardino	45,587 1,884,497	9,117	36,470
San Diego	1,554,388	376,899 310,878	1,507,598 1,243,510
San Francisco	1,027,214	205,443	821,771
San Joaquin	679,873	135,975	543,898
San Luis Obispo	247,967	49,593	198,374
San Mateo	328,287	65,657	262,629
Santa Barbara	233,933	46,787	187,146
Santa Clara	909,832	181,966	727,866
Santa Cruz	137,755	27,551	110,204
Shasta	181,025	36,205	144,820
Sierra	10,228	2,046	8,182
Siskiyou	106,158	21,232	84,926
Solano	313,072	62,614	250,458
Sonoma	230,541	46,108	184,433
Stanislaus Sutter	311,540 83,263	62,308 16,653	249,232
Sutter Tehama	83,263 106,290	21,258	66,611 85,032
Trinity	41,096	8,219	32,877
Tulare	632,684	126,537	506,148
Tuolumne	37,094	7,419	29,675
Ventura	327,243	65,449	261,795
Yolo	141,157	28,231	112,926
Yuba	141,461	28,292	113,169
Total	34,521,000	6,904,200	27,616,800